



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/659,779	09/11/2000	TOSHIHARU OGURO	PF-2667/NEC/US/mh	5144	
466	7590 01/13/2004		EXAMINER		
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			HÚYNH, KIM T		
	N, VA 22202	OOK	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2112	12	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	, 12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

		•)	\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
Office Action Summary	09/659,779	OGURO, TOSHII	HARU			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Kim T. Huynh	2112	dross			
Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS s, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered time 6 from the mailing date of this of				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	October 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)⊠ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers	·	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on $9/11/00$ is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No mal Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brief et al. (U.S Patent 6,205,501) in view of Ejiri (US Patent 6,434,643)
 As per claim 1, Brief discloses A universal serial bus function evaluator connected between a computer and an universal serial bus function, said universal serial bus function evaluator comprising:
 - a token storage memory for storing a token transmitted from said computer; (col.4, lines 36-42)
 - a packet type judging circuit for judging a type of a return data packet
 returned from said universal serial bus function; (col.16, lines 42-57)
 - a functional circuit connected to said token storage memory for fetching IN token from said token storage memory and holding the same, and said functional circuit also being connected to said packet type judging circuit for receiving an information about the type of said return data packet from said packet type judging circuit. (col.5, lines 45-64), (col.8, lines 21-25), (col.7, lines 22-55), (col.5, lines 9-11, 55-64),

Art Unit: 2112

Brief discloses all the limitations as above except if said return data packet is of NAK type, the functional circuit automatically transmits repeatedly until DATA type STALL type. However, Ejiri discloses the host detects a NAK in the handshake packet, it is possible to retry sending the same data packet thus increase the reliability of data transfers. (col.5, lines 50-60)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Ejiri's teaching into Brief's method to retry sending return packets so as to increase the reliability of data transfer. (col.5, lines 50-60)

As per claim 2, Brief discloses:

- an oscillator for generating a clock signal; (col.7, lines 22-35), (col.8, lines 1-6)
- an IN token holding circuit connected to said oscillator for receiving said clock signal and also connected to said token storage memory for fetching IN token from said token storage memory and holding the same; (col.5, lines 45-64), (col.7, lines 22-67), (col.8, lines 1-25)
- a timing controller connected to said oscillator for receiving said clock signal and also connected to said packet type judging circuit for receiving an information about the type of said return data packet, and said timing controller also connected to said IN token holding circuit for controlling said IN token holding circuit both in a holding timing for

Art Unit: 2112

holding said IN token and in a transmitting timing for transmitting said IN token to said universal serial bus function. (col.7, lines 22-55), (col.2, lines 6-11)

As per claim 3, Brief discloses an EOP detecting circuit connected to said universal serial bus function for receiving said return packet to detect a packet end of said return packet, and said EOP detecting circuit also connected to said timing controller for sending an EPO detecting signal which represents the packet end to said timing controller. (col.7, lines 22-35)

As per claim 4, Brief discloses return data packet is of DATA type, then said functional circuit not only cancels the held IN token but also transmits ACK token. (col.7, lines 28-55)

As per claim 5, Brief discloses:

- an oscillator for generating a clock signal; (col.7, lines 22-35), (col.8, lines
 1-6)
- an IN token holding circuit connected to said oscillator for receiving said clock signal and also connected to said token storage memory for fetching IN token from said token storage memory and holding the same; (col.5, lines 45-69), (col.7, lines 22-67), (col.8, lines 1-25)
- an ACK token transmission circuit connected to said oscillator for receiving said clock signal; (col.7, lines 22-35)
- a timing controller connected to said oscillator for receiving said clock
 signal and also connected to said packet type judging circuit for receiving

Art Unit: 2112

an information about the type of said return data packet, and said timing controller also connected to said IN token holding circuit for controlling said IN token holding circuit both in a holding timing for holding said IN token and in a transmitting timing for transmitting said IN token to said universal serial bus function, so that if said return data packet is of DATA type, then said timing controller allows said ACK token transmission circuit to transmit an ACK token to said universal serial bus function. (col.7, lines 22-55), (col.2, lines 6-11)

As per claim 8, Brief discloses:

- judging a type of a return data packet returned from said universal serial bus function; (col.4, lines 36-42)
- fetching IN token from said storing means and holding the same; (col.5, lines 45-64)
- receiving an information about the type of said return data packet from said packet type judging circuit(col.8, lines 21-25), (col.7, lines 22-55), (col.5, lines 45-64) (col.5, lines 9-11, 55-64),

Brief discloses all the limitations as above except if said return data packet is of NAK type, the functional circuit automatically transmits repeatedly until DATA type STALL type. However, Ejiri discloses the host detects a NAK in the handshake packet, it is possible to retry sending the same data packet thus increase the reliability of data transfers. (col.5, lines 50-60)



Art Unit: 2112

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Ejiri's teaching into Brief's method to retry sending return packets so as to increase the reliability of data transfer. (col.5, lines 50-60)

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6-7 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant's claimed invention is deemed allowable over the prior art of record as the prior art fails to teach or suggest the timing controller that, upon receipt of a NAK packet, allows the IN token holding circuit to transmit the IN token held therein to the universal serial bus function repeatedly until the return data packet is either a DATA or STALL type, at which time the timing controller instructs the IN token holding circuit to hold the IN token therein in combination with other limitations recited in independent claim 6 and further in view of the specification and applicant's arguments.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's reconsideration filed on 10/30/03 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion in the proposed combination to resend an IN token that is held in the hub 13 in EJIRI or hub 110 in BRIEF et al., or to cancel the IN token held therein when the return data packet is a DATA or STALL. However, as Ejiri notes at col.5, lines 14-60, host 12 detects a NAK

Art Unit: 2112

handshake packet 53, it is possible to retry sending these packets with the same data packet 52 thus increase the reliability of data transfers. Furthermore, handshake packet 53 contain packet ID 61 enabling one of the three states to be returned: ACK, data was received, NAK, data not receive, STALL not possible because of error. By default packets will be cancelled the IN token held when packet is DATA or STALL. (wherein DATA implies ack data receive, STALL implies errors not possible since both data either already received or error by default will not held any longer). It is clear that Ejiri is an analog art and it reads on the breadth of the claimed languages; therefore it is properly stated in the rejection of record.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2112

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM- 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

unal Down

Page 8

Kim Huynh

Jan. 8, 2004

Khanh Dang Primary Examiner